



TFW

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In Re Application of:

Examiner: Boehler, Anne Marie M.

Song, et al.

Group Art Unit: 3611

Serial No.: 10/725,356

Attorney Docket: 49595.5.1

Filed: December 1, 2003

For: MOTORCYCLE HANDLEBAR  
MOUNTING ASSEMBLY

To: Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:

- ☒ deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.  
☐ facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office  
☐ hand delivered to the Patent and Trademark Office

on this 18 day of NOVEMBER, 2005

By

John S. Parzych  
John S. Parzych

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Applicant's representative would like to thank Examiner Boehler for extending the courtesy of a telephone interview on October 20, 2005 to discuss this case. The following recordation of the substance of the interview is believed to be complete and proper in accordance with MPEP 713.04. It is requested the Examiner notify the undersigned if the Examiner believes this statement contains any inaccuracies or if the Examiner believes this statement is otherwise not complete and proper.

Interview Participants: (1) Examiner Anne Marie M. Boehler and (2) Applicant's attorney, John S. Parzych.

No agreement was reached during the interview.

No exhibit or demonstration was shown during the interview.

The following references were discussed: (1) JA 3-213,483; (2) Nagashima (U.S. Patent No. 4,753,448); and (3) Borromeo (U.S. Pat. No. 4,794,815).


BEST AVAILABLE COPY

During the interview, the parties discussed incorporating structural limitations into independent claims 1, 12, and 16 pertaining to the riser tube extending in a first direction at an angle from vertical, and introducing a particular order into the method steps of independent claim 14. The Examiner made no determination regarding patentability but it appeared such claim amendments would distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art of record. Examiner stated a further search of the technology would be needed before such a determination on patentability could be made.

No other pertinent matters were discussed during the interview.

Dated: 11/18/05

Respectfully submitted,

  
John S. Parzych  
Registration No. 52,097  
Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.  
200 Second Avenue South, Suite 4000  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
(612) 492-7279

#3198448\1

BEST AVAILABLE COPY